Application/Control Number: 10/593,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 1798

#### EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes
and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided
by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be
submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Garth Dahlen on February 10, 2011.

The application has been amended as follows:

- Claim 3 is cancelled.
- Claim 1 is rewritten as:
- -- An optical laminate (optical laminate C) which comprises a layer (layer A) comprising a resin having a negative intrinsic birefringence and at least one layer (layer B) comprising a transparent resin, having substantially no orientation and laminated at least on one face of layer A and satisfies a relation IRe(A)I>IRe(B)I, wherein Re(A) and Re(B) represent an in-plane retardation of layer A and an in-plane retardation of layer B, respectively, measured with light having a wavelength of 400 to 700 nm, wherein the optical laminate satisfies a relation Σnz>Σny-0.002, wherein Σnz represents a refractive index in a direction of a thickness and Σny and Σnx represent refractive indices in two directions which are perpendicular to the direction of a thickness and perpendicular to each other of optical laminate C measured with light having a wavelength of 550 nm, and Σnx, Σny and Σnz satisfy relations Σnx<Σny and Σnx < Σnz ; and wherein the optical laminate satisfies a relation Tg(A)>Tg(B)+20, wherein Tg(A) and Tg(B) represent glass

Application/Control Number: 10/593,590 Page 3

Art Unit: 1798

transition temperatures in ℃ of the resin of layer A and the resin of layer B.

respectively. - -.

## Claim 16 is rewritten as:

- - The optical laminate according to Claim 15, which satisfies relations

Tg(A)>Tg(D) and Tg(B)>Tg(D), wherein Tg(D) represents a glass transition temperature

or a softening point in ℃ of an adhesive in the adhesive layer [[,]] and wherein Tg(A)

and Tg(B) represent glass transition temperatures in ℃ of the resin of layer A and the

resin of layer B,respectively. - -.

## Claim 22 is rewritten as:

- - The optical laminate according to Claim 21, wherein the laminate is costretched at a temperature of from Tg(A)-10 (°C) to Tg(A)+20 (°C) [[,]] wherein Tg(A) and Tg(B) represent glass transition temperatures in °C of the resin of layer A and the resin of layer B, respectively. - -.

#### 6 Claim 23 is rewritten as:

- - The optical laminate according to Claim 21, wherein the laminate is obtained by a molding process by coextrusion of the resin having a negative birefringence and the transparent resin [[], ]] wherein Tg(A) and Tg(B) represent glass transition temperatures in °C of the resin of layer A and the resin of layer B, respectively. - -.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,590 Page 4

Art Unit: 1798

7. Line 14 of Claim 25 is rewritten as:

- - laminating [[a]] <u>the</u> layer comprising [[a]] <u>the</u> transparent resin and having

substantially no orientation - -.

On Page 1 of the Specification, new line:

- - This application is a 371 of PCT/JP05/005666 filed March 22, 2005. - -

is inserted as line 1 below the title "OPTICAL LAMINATE, OPTICAL ELEMENT AND LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY DEVICE".

9. On Page 1 of the Specification, the header "DESCRIPTION" is deleted.

# Rejoinder of Withdrawn Process Claims

10. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-24 are directed to an allowable product. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in MPEP § 821.04(B), claims 25-26, directed to the process of making or using an allowable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Because all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement as set forth in the Office action mailed on January 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2010, is hereby withdrawn. In view of the withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the rejoined inventions, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes

Application/Control Number: 10/593,590

Art Unit: 1798

all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once the restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

### Reasons for Allowance

11. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

Regarding the product claims 1-2, 4, 6-24, the closest cited prior art of record, US 2002/0060762, fails to fairly teach or suggest, even in view of US 2003/0125503, US 4,985,285, JP 2000-141567, JP 2003-090912 and WO03/033454 (US 6,846,890), the optical laminate described above. The transparent resin is different in '762, being Zeonor 1420 ([0103]) and having a Tg(B) of 135  $^{\circ}$ C, as evidenced by Applicant's specification (page 28, lines 10-15), which is the same as that of Zeonor 1430 used in Comparative Example 1 of Applicant's specification (page 31, lines 14-25), while the resin having a negative intrinsic birefringence, namely Dylark D332, is the same in both '762 ([0103]) and the present application, and has a Tg(A) of 130  $^{\circ}$ C, as evidenced by Applicant's specification (page 27, lines 5-10), which does not satisfy the relation Tg(A)>Tg(B)+20.

Regarding the process claims 25-26, the closest cited prior art of record, US 2002/0060762, fails to fairly teach or suggest, even in view of US 2003/0125503, US 4.985.285. JP 2000-141567, JP 2003-090912 and WO03/033454, a process for

Application/Control Number: 10/593,590

Art Unit: 1798

producing an optical laminate (optical laminate C) which comprises a layer (layer A) comprising a resin having a negative intrinsic birefringence and at least one layer (layer B) comprising a transparent resin, having substantially no orientation and laminated at least on one face of layer A and satisfies a relation IRe(A)I>IRe(B)I wherein Re(A) and Re(B) represent an in-plane retardation of layer A and an in-plane retardation of layer B, respectively, measured with light having a wavelength of 400 to 700 nm, wherein the optical laminate satisfies a relation Σnz>Σny-0.002, wherein Σnz represents a refractive index in a direction of a thickness and Σny and Σnx represent refractive indices in two directions which are perpendicular to the direction of a thickness and perpendicular to each other of optical laminate C measured with light having a wavelength of 550 nm, and Σnx, Σnv and Σnz satisfy relations Σnx<Σny and Σnx<Σnz, wherein said process comprises: laminating the layer comprising the transparent resin and having substantially no orientation on at least one face of the layer comprising the resin having a negative intrinsic birefringence to form an unstretched laminate, and co-stretching the formed unstretched laminate.

In Applicant's specification, Applicant demonstrates that when the formed unstretched laminate is *co-stretched*, the resin of layer B comprising transparent resin having substantially no orientation, cannot have a Tg(B) that is higher than the Tg(A) of the resin of layer A comprising resin having a negative intrinsic birefringence, to form an optical laminate that satisfies the combination of the relation IRe(A)I>IRe(B)I for Re(A) and Re(B) measured with light having a wavelength of 400 to 700 nm, and the relations Σnx<Σny and Σnx<Σnz for Σnx, Σny and Σnz measured with light having a wavelength

Application/Control Number: 10/593,590

Art Unit: 1798

of 550 nm (Examples 1-2 and Comparative Example 1, Tables 1-2, page 34). The transparent resin is different in '762, being Zeonor 1420 ([0103]) and having a Tg(B) of 135 °C, as evidenced by Applicant's specification (page 28, lines 10-15), which is the same as that of Zeonor 1430 used in Comparative Example 1 of Applicant's specification (page 31, lines 14-25), while the resin having a negative intrinsic birefringence, namely Dylark D332, is the same in both '762 ([0103]) and the present application, and has a Tg(A) of 130 °C, as evidenced by Applicant's specification (page 27, lines 5-10).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Page 8

Art Unit: 1798

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon

whose telephone number (571)272-1492. The examiner can normally be reached

Monday to Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,590

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Ortiz, can be reached at (571)272-1206. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

| Sophie Houl

Sow-Fun Hon

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1798